metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Panče Naumov,^a Vera Jordanovska^a and Seik Weng Ng^b*

^aInstitute of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Sv. Kiril i Metodij University, PO Box 162, MK-1001 Skopje, Macedonia, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Malaya, 50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: seikweng@um.edu.my

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.033 wR factor = 0.103 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis(benzylammonium) tetraaquadisulfatomanganate(II)

The cation and anion of the title compound, $(C_7H_{10}N)_2$ -[Mn(SO₄)₂(H₂O)₄], are linked by hydrogen bonds into a three-dimensional network structure. The Mn atom of the anion lies on a centre of inversion in an octahedral coordination geometry of water and sulfate ligands. Received 6 September 2005 Accepted 8 September 2005 Online 14 September 2005

Comment

The Tutton salts $M^{I}_{2}[M^{II}(H_{2}O)_{6}](SO_{4})_{2}$, where M^{I} is a monovalent metal or ammonium and M^{II} is a divalent transition metal, are a well studied class of salts (Mahadevan Pillai *et al.*, 1997) that typically afford large well defined crystals. Such salts have been reported to undergo multiple phase transitions, and some of the phases also exhibit ferroelectricity/ferroelasticity (Kirpichnikova *et al.*, 1990; Vlokh, Bublyk *et al.*, 1991; Vlokh, Karpustyanyuk *et al.*, 1991). Interest in the double alkylammonium–metal sulfates arises from the information that can be obtained from them on the structural features of the ammonium ion (Naumov *et al.*, 2002).



Bis(benzylammonium) tetraaquadisulfatomanganate, (I), is a member of the triad of double sulfates which has cadmium and copper salts as the other members. The formulation of the compound (Fig. 1) was established previously (Jordanovska *et al.*, 2000).

In the crystal structure, the Mn atom lies on a centre of inversion, and the octahedrally coordinated anion interacts with the cation through hydrogen bonds (Table 2) to give rise to a tightly held three-dimensional network structure.

Two other tetraaquadisulfatomanganate salts that have been crystallographically authenticated are the ethylenediammonium (Chaabouni *et al.*, 1996) and histaminium (Wojtczak & Jaskólski, 1989) salts.

Experimental

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved The compound could be synthesized on a large scale, as it forms as colourless crystalline blocks of centimetre dimensions by merely evaporating, at ambient temperature, an aqueous solution of manganese(II) sulfate and two molar equivalents of benzylammonium sulfate in the presence of sulfuric acid (Jordanovska *et al.*, 2000). The crystal of (I) used for the present diffraction measurements was cut from a large specimen.

Z = 1

 $D_x = 1.551 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 2764

Irregular block, colourless

2533 independent reflections

2371 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $0.30 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 2.6-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.82 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 (2) K

 $\begin{aligned} R_{\rm int} &= 0.017\\ \theta_{\rm max} &= 27.5^\circ \end{aligned}$

 $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$

 $k = -9 \rightarrow 10$

 $l = -8 \rightarrow 14$

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} ({\rm C}_7{\rm H}_{10}{\rm N})_2[{\rm Mn}({\rm SO}_4)_2({\rm H}_2{\rm O})_4] \\ M_r = 535.44 \\ {\rm Triclinic,} \ P\overline{1} \\ a = 6.5934 \ (4) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \\ b = 8.0307 \ (5) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \\ c = 11.2873 \ (8) \ {\rm \mathring{A}} \\ a \approx 80.620 \ (1)^{\circ} \\ \beta = 82.639 \ (1)^{\circ} \\ \gamma = 77.613 \ (1)^{\circ} \\ V = 573.24 \ (6) \ {\rm \mathring{A}}^3 \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker APEX area-detector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{min} = 0.631, T_{max} = 0.791$ 3583 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0639P)]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.033$	+ 0.1241P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.103$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
2533 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.34 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
170 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Mn1-O1	2.219 (1)	Mn1-O2W	2.188 (1)
Mn1 - O1W	2.159 (1)		
O1-Mn1-O1W	93.24 (5)	$O1-Mn1-O2W^{i}$	92.45 (5)
$O1 - Mn1 - O1W^{i}$	86.76 (5)	O1W-Mn1-O2W	90.30 (5)
O1-Mn1-O2W	87.55 (5)	$O1W-Mn1-O2W^{i}$	89.70 (5)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1W - H1W1 \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.84 (1)	1.91 (1)	2.754 (2)	178 (3)
$O1W-H1W2\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.84 (1)	1.90 (1)	2.740 (2)	173 (3)
$O2W - H2W1 \cdots O2$	0.85 (1)	1.92 (1)	2.746 (2)	164 (3)
O2W−H2W2···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.84(1)	1.89 (1)	2.726 (2)	175 (3)
$N1-H1N1\cdots O2$	0.86 (1)	1.99 (1)	2.807 (2)	160(2)
$N1-H1N2\cdotsO1^{iv}$	0.86(1)	2.21(2)	2.991 (2)	152 (2)
$N1\!-\!H1N3\!\cdots\!O3^v$	0.86 (1)	1.95 (1)	2.813 (2)	176 (2)
Symmetry codes:	(ii) $-x + 2$.	-v + 1, -z + 1:	(iii) x, y	-1.z; (iv)

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) x, y - 1, z; (iv) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (v) -x + 2, -y + 2, -z + 1.

C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically $[C-H_{phenyl} = 0.93 \text{ Å}$ and $C-H_{methylene} = 0.97 \text{ Å}$; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{ea}C$ and were



Figure 1

A plot of (I), showing displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as small spheres of arbitrary radii. [Symmetry code: (i) 1 - x, 1 - y - z.]

included in the refinement in the riding-model approximation. Ammonium and water H atoms were found in difference Fourier maps and refined with a distance restraint of N-H = O-H = 0.85 (1) Å.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT*; method used to solve structure: difference Fourier, with Mn1 at $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$; program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

We thank the Department of Chemistry, Central China Normal University, for collecting the diffraction data. We also thank Sv. Kiril i Metodij University and the University of Malaya for supporting this work.

References

- Bruker (2003). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chaabouni, S., Kamoun, S., Daoud, A. & Jouini, T. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 505–506.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Jordanovska, V., Boyanov, B. & Naumov, P. (2000). J. Therm. Anal. Calorim. 62, 267–275.

Kirpichnikova, L. F., Urusovskaya, A. A., Shuvalov, L. A. & Mozgovoi, V. I. (1990). Ferroelectrics, 111, 339–342.

- Mahadevan Pillai, V. P., Nayar, V. U. & Jordanovska, V. B. (1997). J. Solid State Chem. 133, 407–415.
- Naumov, P., Jordanovska, V., Drew, M. G. B. & Ng, S. W. (2002). Solid State Sci. 4, 455–460.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Vlokh, O. G., Bublyk, M. I., Mokryi, V. I., Polovinko, I. I., Sveleba, S. A. & Sosnovskii, T. M. (1991). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 36, 513–515.
- Vlokh, O. G., Karpustyanyuk, V. B., Polovinko, I. I., Mykhalyna, I. A., Sveleba, S. A., Andreev, E. F., Varikash, V. M. & Suvalov, L. A. (1991). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 36, 516–519.
- Wojtczak, A. & Jaskólski, M. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 30-33.